

RatingsDirect®

Achmea Group

Primary Credit Analyst:

Simon Marshall, London (44) 20-7176-7080; simon.marshall@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Simon Ashworth, London (44) 20-7176-7243; simon.ashworth@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Base-Case Scenario

Company Description

Business Risk Profile

Financial Risk Profile

Other Assessments

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

Achmea Group

Rationale

Business Risk Profile: Strong

- Strong competitive position stemming from its leadership within the Dutch insurance market.
- Health and non-life insurance are greater competitive strengths than life insurance, due to the pressured Dutch life market.

Financial Risk Profile: Very Strong

- Very strong capital and earnings.
- Very strong, though declining, capital adequacy.

Other Factors

- Under our criteria, we combine these factors to derive an anchor of either 'a' or 'a+'. In a change to our previous approach, we use an anchor of 'a' for Netherlands-based multiline insurer Achmea B.V. to reflect the group's reduced capital strength, following a continued decline in excess capital at the 'AA' level. In our view, this now more than offsets the relatively low risk profile and market-leading position of the group's health franchise, which underpinned the previous 'a+' anchor.
- The group credit profile and our ratings on Achmea's core subsidiaries are one notch above the anchor, reflecting our assessment of enterprise risk management (ERM) as strong and also management and governance as satisfactory.

Outlook: Negative

The negative outlook reflects the risk that Achmea's earnings may not recover sufficiently to reduce the pressure on fixed-charge coverage and improve our assessment of capital adequacy.

Upside scenario

Our base-case scenario is that the group's fixed-charge coverage will reach 4x by year-end 2015 and capital adequacy will remain very strong; in which case, we would likely revise the outlook back to stable.

Downside scenario

If Achmea's fixed-charge coverage does not reach 4x by year-end 2015, we would likely lower the rating by one notch. We could also lower the rating by one notch if we consider that the group's capital adequacy has fallen below very strong.

Base-Case Scenario

Macroeconomic Assumptions

We expect that The Netherlands will see a period of weak growth as domestic demand contracts. We forecast real GDP to grow by 0.5% in 2014 and 1.43% in 2015.

The Dutch life sector is closely linked to the property market. Insurers are significant mortgage issuers and investors while some life products are linked to house sales. House prices fell sharply in 2012(7.3%) and 2013 (4.5%). We expect price falls to slow to 1% in 2014 as consumer confidence improves but that a return to house price growth will be delayed until 2015.

Company-Specific Assumptions

- Capital adequacy will remain commensurate with a 'AA' rating in 2014 and 2015.
- Net income will improve, as the €450 million cost reduction program is executed up to 2016, alongside
 underwriting performance that is consistent with, or improving on, prior years in basic health and non-life
 insurance.
- No effect on our assessment of Achmea's competitive position from upcoming reforms to the Dutch health insurance market.
- Fixed-charge coverage of at least 4x from year-end 2015 onward.

Key Metrics

2015f	2014f	2013	2012	2011
20,300	20,300	20,233	20,445	19,650
0	0.2	-0.9	4.05	-1
>300	>200	344	469	-208
*	*	98	99.6	96.1
*	*	96.9	97.9	98.9
4-6	2-4	4.1	4.1	1.6
	20,300 0 >300 *	20,300 20,300 0 0.2 >300 >200 * * * *	20,300 20,300 20,233 0 0.2 -0.9 >300 >200 344 * * 98 * * 96.9	20,300 20,300 20,233 20,445 0 0.2 -0.9 4.05 >300 >200 344 469 * * * 98 99.6 * * 96.9 97.9

f--forecast. * Basis of calculation changes, making comparison with historical ratios meaningless. Achmea increases holding company expenses allocation to segments from 2014 onwards.

Company Description

Achmea is primarily a very large Dutch multiline insurer. In addition to insurance, Achmea has banking interests, mainly in the Netherlands. Achmea is privately owned, its main shareholders being Achmea Association (65%) and Rabobank (29%), both of which are Dutch unlisted cooperative associations. In fact, Achmea Association operates for the benefit of Achmea, its employees, and its member customers.

Business Risk Profile: Strong

Insurance industry and country risk: Low overall

Achmea faces low industry and country risk overall, because its core businesses are in the Dutch health and non-life markets, which we assess as low risk. Overall risk in the Dutch health industry is low, in our view, as we consider that the performance of the Dutch health insurance sector will show stable, albeit low, margins. We consider that the Dutch non-life sector is fiercely competitive, placing pressure on average market returns. However, we anticipate that returns should improve on a technical basis, given strategic actions within the market concerning disability business. We consider the Dutch life market, which accounts for less than one-fifth of the group's business, to carry intermediate risk and therefore higher risk than the Dutch health and non-life markets. The overall low risk assessment for Achmea is unlikely to change during 2014–2015 based on our assessment of the Dutch non-life and health sectors and the balance of the group.

Competitive position:Strong

The Achmea group has a strong competitive position, in our view, stemming from its leadership within the Dutch insurance market. Achmea is the leading health and non-life insurer in the Netherlands, and the third-largest in life and pensions. Having leading market shares in health and non-life insurance offers greater competitive strengths than in life insurance in our opinion, due to the pressured Dutch life market. Within The Netherlands, Achmea operates a multibrand strategy, split by product--for example, Zilveren Kruis and Agis for health--and distribution channel--for example, Centraal Beheer for direct distribution, and Interpolis for bank distribution. We regard this multibrand approach in The Netherlands as sound and supportive of Achmea's profile.

Achmea's leading franchise--its basic health business--is subject to mandatory acceptance of risk, irrespective of the risk profile of the person insured and the critical success factor is negotiating power with health care providers and, consequently, cost management. As the largest provider, Achmea possesses these advantages. Basic health business is low margin but also low volatility. Our base-case assumption is that upcoming reforms to the Dutch health insurance market will not affect our assessment of Achmea's competitive position. These reforms include reform of long-term care and support and changes in the risk equalization pool.

Table 1

Achmea Industry And Country Risk							
Insurance sector IICRA Business mix							
Netherlands health	Low	67					
Netherlands P/C	Low	19					
Netherlands life	Intermediate	14					
Weighted average IICRA	Low	100					

Table 2

Achmea Group Competitive Position					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Gross premiums written (GPW)	20,260	20,445	19,650	19,852	19,645
Change in GPW (%)	(0.9)	4.0	(1.0)	1.1	1.8

Table 2

Achmea Group Competitive Position (cont.)					
Net premiums written	19,449	19,595	18,801	19,139	19,007
Change in net premiums written (%)	(0.7)	4.2	(1.8)	0.7	3.4
Net premiums earned	19,482	19,610	18,802	19,159	19,022
Change in net premiums earned (%)	(0.7)	4.3	(1.9)	0.7	3.8
Reinsurance utilization (%)	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.6	3.2
Property/casualty (P/C): reinsurance utilization - premiums written (%)	3.6	3.3	6.3	6.3	7.2
Life: reinsurance utilization - reserves (%)	2.0	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.4
Business segment (% of GPW)					
Life	12.8	14.4	17.5	18.0	25.4
P/C	15.7	15.4	19.4	20.1	20.5
Health	65.4	64.2	63.1	61.9	54.0
Other	6.1	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3

Achmea Group Non-Life Insurance								
(Mil. €)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009			
Gross premiums written	3,172	3,151	3,819	3,992	4,030			
Combined ratio (%)	98	99.6	87.6	95.7	95.9			
Profit before tax	265	200	375	401	259			

2012 & 2013 data represents Dutch business only; other years are all business.

Table 4

Achmea Group Life Insurance									
(Mil. €)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009				
Gross premiums written	2,593	2,944	3,431	3,571	4,998				
New business margin (%)	-4.3	-1.8	0.7	1.4	1.6				
Profit before tax	245	383	-292	107	-108				

2012 & 2013 data represents Dutch business only; other years are all business.

Table 5

Achmea Group Health Insurance									
(Mil. €)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009				
Gross premiums written	13,253	13,120	12,400	12,289	10,617				
Combined ratio (%)	96.8	97.9	98.7	98.8	98.8				
Profit before tax	529	293	326	262	342				

2012 & 2013 data represents Dutch business only; other years are all business

Financial Risk Profile: Very Strong

Capital and earnings: Very strong

In our opinion the group has very strong capital and earnings, which, in our base case, we anticipate will continue. Capital adequacy, as measured by Standard & Poor's risk-based capital model, is very strong and should remain so,

despite anticipated earnings pressures in 2014-2015. Quality of capital is favorable, with almost 60% of total adjusted capital per our model derived from core shareholders' funds.

Although we still view Achmea's capital and earnings as very strong, there has been a gradual and consistent decline in excess capital at the 'AA' level, mainly due to Achmea's subdued earnings over recent years, which have placed additional pressure on the group's fixed-charge coverage.

Consistent with previous years, basic health business—67% of gross premium written—and related capital, assets and liabilities are excluded from the model completely due to the business' unique characteristics. We consider basic health business to be at least adequately capitalized, based on comfortably satisfying regulatory minima and recognize that €2.1 billion of capital is invested in basic health legal entities.

Our view of earnings reflects continued strong health and non-life underwriting performance and an adequate life result. We see pressure on earnings in 2014. We nevertheless expect earnings to recover to 2012 levels by 2015-2016.

Table 6

Achmea Group Capitalization Statistics									
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009				
Common shareholders' equity	8,735	9,158	8,450	9,032	8,802				
Change in common shareholders' equity (%)	(4.6)	8.4	(6.4)	2.6	18.1				
Total reported capital	12,174	11,615	10,908	11,493	11,319				
Change in total capital (reported) (%)	4.8	6.5	(5.1)	1.5	NM				

Table 7

Achmea Group Earnings Statistics					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Total revenue	22,193	22,541	22,012	23,549	24,130
EBITDA adjusted	776.0	651.0	263.0	640.0	535
Net income (attributable to all shareholders)	344	469	-208	1,220	1,381
Return on revenue (%)	(3.4)	(8.9)	(5.9)	(1.7)	4.4
Return on shareholders' equity (reported) (%)	3.8	5.3	(2.4)	13.7	17.0

Risk position: Intermediate

In our view, Achmea's risk position reflects intermediate risks. Investment leverage and investment portfolio diversification are at conservative levels and we have observed relative stability in capital and earnings. We estimate the average credit quality of bonds to be 'AA', because more than one-half of the portfolio is invested in 'AA+' rated sovereign debt, or better. The group has immaterial exposure to government bonds of Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Spain. The risk position is a neutral factor for the ratings.

Table 8

Achmea Group Risk Position					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Total invested assets	68,579	65,839	63,642	63,229	63,940
Net investment income	1,535	1,678	1,847	2,787	2,278
Net investment yield (%)	3.53	4.13	4.56	6.69	5.31

Table 8

Achmea Group Risk Position (cont.)							
Net investment yield including realized capital gains/(losses) (%)	6.22	10.49	8.01	11.01	7.68		
Portfolio composition (% of General account invested asset	s)						
Cash and short term investments (%)	11.26	7.22	10.58	11.81	15.85		
Bonds (%)	72.45	74.61	71.49	69.91	62.23		
Equity investments (%)	6.02	6.59	5.70	5.01	4.75		
Real Estate (%)	2.61	2.87	3.08	3.48	3.38		
Mortgages (%)	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01		
Loans (%)	6.53	7.52	7.90	8.06	8.72		
Investments in affiliates (%)	0.19	0.23	0.22	0.37	3.61		
Investments in partnerships, joint venture and other alternatives investments (%)							
Other investments (%)	0.82	0.97	1.03	1.36	1.45		

N.M.--Not meaningful.

Financial flexibility: Adequate

Achmea has adequate financial flexibility, in our view, despite pressure on this assessment from projected fixed-charge coverage ratios, which we expect to gradually surpass 4x by 2015. We expect financial leverage to be satisfactory, at around 30%. The adequate assessment also reflects our view that access to sources of capital and liquidity are sufficient, given the group's limited needs.

Table 9

Achmea Group Financial Flexibility							
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
Fixed-charge coverage	4.1	4.1	1.6	3.9	3.1		
Financial leverage including pension deficit as debt (%)	29.5	22.0	22.2	20.6	21.7		

Other Assessments

Enterprise risk management: Strong

We regard Achmea's enterprise risk management (ERM) as a positive factor for the ratings as we consider it to be as strong.

We have revised our view of the ERM framework of Achmea to Strong reflecting, in our opinion, the group's positive risk culture, positive strategic risk management, positive risk controls and affirmation of the other sub factors. A key assumption underlying this assessment is that the Dutch government would support the country's basic health insurance industry if an extreme event, such as a pandemic, caused financial stress to insurers.

Also, we have revised our opinion of the importance of ERM to the rating to high given that the group's operations are significantly exposed to pandemic risk, which is heavily reliant on and sensitive to changes in the Dutch government's policies. We do not expect the group to experience losses outside its risk tolerances.

Management and governance

We regard management and governance practices as a neutral factor for the ratings. We consider Achmea's management and governance as satisfactory. Achmea's strategic positioning is clear and achievable; its financial management is conservative, and its organizational effectiveness is good.

Liquidity: Exceptional

We regard Achmea's liquidity as exceptional, owing to the strength of available liquidity sources, mainly premium income and liquid assets. There are no refinancing concerns and we believe the group would be capable of managing unexpectedly large claims.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Issuer credit rating: A+/Negative/--

Anchor: a

Business risk: Strong

Financial risk: Very Strong

Modifiers: +1

ERM And Management: Strong

Holistic: 0

SACP: a+

Liquidity test: Exceptional

Sovereign risk: AA+/Stable

Support: 0

Group support: 0

Government support: 0

Outlook/CreditWatch: Negative

Related Criteria And Research

- Insurers: Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Group Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Enterprise Risk Management, May 7, 2013
- Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

- Refined Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing Insurer Capital Adequacy Using The Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model, June 7, 2010
- Hybrid Capital Handbook: September 2008 Edition, Sept. 15, 2008

Ratings Detail (As Of July 4, 2014)

Ratings Detail (As Of July 4, 2014)	
Achmea B.V.	
Counterparty Credit Rating	
Local Currency	A-/Negative/
Junior Subordinated	BBB
Senior Unsecured	A-
Subordinated	BBB+
Counterparty Credit Ratings History	
21-May-2014 Local Currency	A-/Negative/
23-Dec-2010	A-/Stable/
01-Dec-2008	A-/Negative/
Related Entities	
Achmea Pensioen & Levensverzekeringen N.V.	
Financial Strength Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
Issuer Credit Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
Achmea Schadeverzekeringen N.V.	
Financial Strength Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
Issuer Credit Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
Achmea Zorgverzekeringen N.V.	
Financial Strength Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
Issuer Credit Rating	
Local Currency	A+/Negative/
#II-learned and a second all and a second all and a second and all and a second and a second all and a second	tion Charles 10 Death and it ations of the shell and a second like

^{*}Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. Standard & Poor's credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country.

Additional Contact:

 $Insurance\ Ratings\ Europe;\ InsuranceInteractive_Europe@standardandpoors.com$

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.